

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/080,458 | 02/22/2002 | Stanley Pohl | CP-1235 | 8402 |
| 27752 | 7590 08/05/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| | TER & GAMBLE COL JAL PROPERTY DIVIS | MARKOFF, ALEXANDER | | |
| WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE | | | 1746 | |
| CINCINNATI | , ОН 45224 | | DATE MAR CD- 09/05/200 | • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|---|
| Advisory Action | 10/080,458 | POHL, STANLEY |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alexander Markoff | 1746 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence address |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further | , | see NOTE below); |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | , , | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | dered but does NOT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | |
| 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app | roved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. ☐ Other: | | 10 Pull |
| AL | EXANDER MARKOFF RIMARY EXAMINER | Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1746 |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 110/080,458

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the claims to change the ranges for the concentrations of hydrogen peroxid and surfactant. These limitations were not previously presented and considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants rely on the proposed amendment, which would not be entered.